

**Texas State Technical College**  
**17/FA End of Course Evaluation**  
**Department Distribution Report**

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

**Location: TSTC in North Texas** **Department: Cad & Design Technology**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	3	4.00
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	3	4.00
Sections Surveyed: 3	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 1

Web Questions	Responses	Average
The online format was clear and easy to access.	3	4.00
The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course.	3	4.00
I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course.	3	4.00
The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable.	3	4.00
Sections Surveyed: 3	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 1

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	3	4.00
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	3	4.00
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	3	4.00
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	3	4.00
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	3	4.00
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	3	4.00
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	3	4.00
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	3	4.00
Sections Surveyed: 3	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 1

**Texas State Technical College**  
**17/FA End of Course Evaluation**  
**Department Distribution Report**

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

**Location: TSTC in North Texas**

**Department: College Tracking General**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	6	3.33
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	6	3.50
Sections Surveyed: 3	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 6

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	7	3.29
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	7	3.43
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	7	3.29
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	7	3.57
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	5	3.80
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	7	3.71
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	7	3.43
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	7	3.14
Sections Surveyed: 3	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 7

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	1	4.00
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	2	4.00
Sections Surveyed: 1	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 2

**Texas State Technical College**  
**17/FA End of Course Evaluation**  
**Department Distribution Report**

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

**Location: TSTC in North Texas**

**Department: Computer Networking & Systems**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	10	3.10
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	10	3.80
Sections Surveyed: 3	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 6

Web Questions	Responses	Average
The online format was clear and easy to access.	9	2.89
The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course.	9	3.67
I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course.	8	3.50
The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable.	8	3.38
Sections Surveyed: 3	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 5

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	10	3.20
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	10	3.30
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	9	2.89
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	10	2.90
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	10	3.10
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	10	4.00
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	10	3.30
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	10	3.10
Sections Surveyed: 3	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 6

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	4	2.50
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	4	2.75
I understand how to use library resources online.	4	3.00
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	4	3.50
Sections Surveyed: 2	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 4

**Texas State Technical College**  
**17/FA End of Course Evaluation**  
**Department Distribution Report**

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

**Location: TSTC in North Texas** **Department: Cyber Security**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	4	2.00
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	4	2.25
Sections Surveyed: 3	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 3

Web Questions	Responses	Average
The online format was clear and easy to access.	4	3.25
The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course.	4	2.00
I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course.	4	2.00
The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable.	4	2.75
Sections Surveyed: 3	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 3

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	4	3.50
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	4	3.50
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	4	2.50
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	4	3.00
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	4	3.00
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	4	3.50
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	4	3.00
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	4	2.00
Sections Surveyed: 3	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 3

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	2	3.00
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	2	3.00
I understand how to use library resources online.	1	4.00
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	2	3.50
Sections Surveyed: 1	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 2

**Texas State Technical College  
17/FA End of Course Evaluation  
Department Distribution Report**

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

**Location: TSTC in North Texas**

**Department: Diesel Equipment Technology**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	1	4.00
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	1	4.00
Sections Surveyed: 1	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 1

Web Questions	Responses	Average
The online format was clear and easy to access.	1	3.00
The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course.	1	4.00
I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course.	1	3.00
The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable.	1	3.00
Sections Surveyed: 1	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 1

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	1	4.00
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	1	3.00
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	1	3.00
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	1	4.00
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	1	4.00
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	1	4.00
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	1	4.00
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	1	4.00
Sections Surveyed: 1	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 1

**Texas State Technical College**  
**17/FA End of Course Evaluation**  
**Department Distribution Report**

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

**Location: TSTC in North Texas** **Department: Electrical Power & Controls**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	2	4.00
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	2	4.00
Sections Surveyed: 2	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 1

Web Questions	Responses	Average
The online format was clear and easy to access.	2	4.00
The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course.	2	4.00
I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course.	2	4.00
The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable.	2	4.00
Sections Surveyed: 2	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 1

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	2	4.00
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	2	4.00
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	2	4.00
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	2	4.00
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	2	4.00
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	2	4.00
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	2	4.00
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	2	4.00
Sections Surveyed: 2	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 1

**Texas State Technical College**  
**17/FA End of Course Evaluation**  
**Department Distribution Report**

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

**Location: TSTC in North Texas** **Department: Freshman Year Seminar**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	6	3.50
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	6	3.67
Sections Surveyed: 3	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 6

Web Questions	Responses	Average
The online format was clear and easy to access.	3	3.67
The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course.	3	3.33
I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course.	3	3.00
The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable.	3	3.67
Sections Surveyed: 1	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 3

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	7	3.57
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	7	3.29
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	7	3.29
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	7	3.29
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	7	2.86
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	7	3.00
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	7	3.43
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	7	3.57
Sections Surveyed: 3	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 7

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	2	3.50
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	2	3.00
I understand how to use library resources online.	2	3.00
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	2	3.50
Sections Surveyed: 1	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 2

**Texas State Technical College**  
**17/FA End of Course Evaluation**  
**Department Distribution Report**

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

**Location: TSTC in North Texas**

**Department: HVAC Technology**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	17	3.94
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	17	3.82
Sections Surveyed: 4	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 5

Web Questions	Responses	Average
The online format was clear and easy to access.	17	3.88
The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course.	17	3.71
I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course.	17	3.94
The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable.	17	4.00
Sections Surveyed: 4	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 5

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	17	3.88
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	17	3.94
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	17	3.76
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	17	4.00
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	17	3.59
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	17	3.76
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	17	3.76
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	17	4.00
Sections Surveyed: 4	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 5

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	5	3.80
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	5	3.60
I understand how to use library resources online.	5	3.20
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	5	3.60
Sections Surveyed: 1	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 5



**Texas State Technical College**  
**17/FA End of Course Evaluation**  
**Department Distribution Report**

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

**Location: TSTC in North Texas** **Department: Industrial Maintenance**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	17	3.35
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	19	3.47
Sections Surveyed: 11	Instructors Surveyed: 6	Students Responding: 9

Web Questions	Responses	Average
The online format was clear and easy to access.	19	3.32
The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course.	19	3.47
I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course.	19	3.26
The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable.	19	3.47
Sections Surveyed: 12	Instructors Surveyed: 6	Students Responding: 9

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	21	3.33
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	22	3.36
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	22	3.36
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	22	3.23
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	18	3.39
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	20	3.50
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	20	3.20
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	22	3.23
Sections Surveyed: 12	Instructors Surveyed: 6	Students Responding: 9

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	4	3.50
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	4	3.25
I understand how to use library resources online.	2	3.50
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	4	3.50
Sections Surveyed: 3	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 4

**Texas State Technical College**  
**17/FA End of Course Evaluation**  
**Department Distribution Report**

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

**Location: TSTC in North Texas**

**Department: Logistics Technology**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	11	4.00
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	11	4.00
Sections Surveyed: 6	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 2

Web Questions	Responses	Average
The online format was clear and easy to access.	1	4.00
The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course.	1	4.00
I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course.	1	4.00
The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable.	1	4.00
Sections Surveyed: 1	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 1

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	11	4.00
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	11	4.00
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	11	4.00
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	11	4.00
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	10	4.00
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	11	4.00
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	11	4.00
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	11	4.00
Sections Surveyed: 6	Instructors Surveyed: 2	Students Responding: 2

**Texas State Technical College**  
**17/FA End of Course Evaluation**  
**Department Distribution Report**

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

<b>Location: TSTC in North Texas</b>	<b>Department: Precision Machining Technology</b>
--------------------------------------	---

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	1	4.00
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	1	4.00
Sections Surveyed: 1	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 1

Web Questions	Responses	Average
The online format was clear and easy to access.	1	3.00
The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course.	1	4.00
I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course.	1	4.00
The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable.	1	3.00
Sections Surveyed: 1	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 1

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	1	4.00
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	1	4.00
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	1	4.00
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	1	4.00
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	1	4.00
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	1	4.00
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	1	4.00
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	1	4.00
Sections Surveyed: 1	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 1

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	1	4.00
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	1	4.00
I understand how to use library resources online.	1	3.00
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	1	4.00
Sections Surveyed: 1	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 1

**Texas State Technical College**  
**17/FA End of Course Evaluation**  
**Department Distribution Report**

Scores are based on a 4 point Likert scale as follows:	
Not Applicable	= 0
Strongly Disagree	= 1
Disagree Somewhat	= 2
Agree Somewhat	= 3
Strongly Agree	= 4

**Location: TSTC in North Texas**

**Department: Welding Technology**

Face-to-face Questions	Responses	Average
The lab portion of the course was well-coordinated with the lecture portion.	7	3.86
Sufficient time was allowed for the completion of each lab or experiment.	7	4.00
Sections Surveyed: 6	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 3

Web Questions	Responses	Average
The online format was clear and easy to access.	5	3.60
The frequency of student and instructor interaction was appropriate for the course.	5	3.60
I was satisfied with the quality of online instruction for this course.	5	3.60
The procedures for electronic submission of assignments were clearly explained and reasonable.	5	3.60
Sections Surveyed: 5	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 3

Instructor Questions	Responses	Average
The instructor communicated course content effectively.	7	3.71
The instructor provided clearly defined expectations in the course syllabus.	7	3.57
The tests/assignments were graded and returned promptly, and I was aware of my progress.	7	3.43
I would recommend this instructor to other students.	7	3.86
The instructor was responsive outside of class times.	7	3.86
The instructor made me feel free to ask questions and express my ideas.	7	4.00
The instructor maintained a classroom environment that helped me learn.	7	3.71
Overall, this course met the needs or requirements of my educational goals.	7	3.71
Sections Surveyed: 6	Instructors Surveyed: 3	Students Responding: 3

General Questions	Responses	Average
The condition of lab equipment was acceptable.	1	4.00
The availability of lab materials and supplies was acceptable.	1	4.00
I understand how to use library resources online.	1	4.00
I have adequate access to student services such as admissions, counseling, advising, etc.	1	3.00
Sections Surveyed: 1	Instructors Surveyed: 1	Students Responding: 1